

Case Study: Fixing problems undetectable by sampling

Telephone House is a large office complex located in central London close to the Embankment. The building, which dates from around 1900, is listed due to its special architectural interest. Following numerous issues with the water system, including regularly leaking radiators, a Hevasure system was installed in May 2017 to provide a three-month Health Check.



Hidden problems

Historically, the radiators throughout the building had been leaking on a weekly basis. Although the BSRIA chemistry and bacterial results were within guideline limits and iron levels and solids were also in spec, this leakage suggested a serious underlying problem.

Earlier work by Hevasure's sister company, Midland Corrosion Services, cited gross aeration as the likely issue when oxide deposits were discovered in the radiators' water channels. These deposits (mainly magnetite sludge) had not been detected by water analysis due to low solubility and settling within regions of the system with low flow rates. As a consequence, they had not been transported to the sampling point.

Although several causes of aeration were speculated no clear cause could be identified. Remote, continuous monitoring was the only way to find out why oxygen ingress was happening. It was imperative that the root cause was identified so that the problem could be rectified before it started to do real harm to the system. If left unchecked, costly repairs and operational downtime would have ensued.

Flushing – which is many engineers' standard reaction to closed water system issues - in this instance would not have been effective without addressing the aeration issue.





Real-time monitoring

Guardian Water Treatment, Hevasure's certified partners, installed the Hevasure unit at the start of an initial 3-month investigation. Such was the success of this trial, the period was extended to January 2018, by which time all aeration issues were sorted and the system had stabilised.

The results taken over the period indicated that insufficient water pressure on the 4th floor was the problem. During every "standby" period, when the water was cooler, the pressure dropped and the oxygen levels spiked. Conversely, when the system was hot and the pressure stable (3.3bar basement, 0.7bar 4th floor) the DO levels were seen to reduce and stabilise.

It was clear from this analysis that the root cause of the air ingress was unstable pressure and that fixes to the expansion vessels, pressurisation units and AAVs were required. Working with the client, Hevasure reviewed the system resting pressure and operating parameters. Once the pressure had stabilised and the DO levels were kept in check, corrosion rates became negligible.



Conclusion

Hevasure's technology incorporates a live diagnostic tool providing real peace of mind. By nipping the pressure issue in the bud, straight-forward adjustments to the system prevented the spread of serious corrosion problems which would have lain undetected by sampling.

If the oxidation in the LTHW had continued, there would have been an eventual breakdown of the whole system, leading to major repair expenses and disruption to the organisations housed in the building. By fixing the root cause of the problem, unnecessary chemical usage, maintenance costs and the building's environmental impact, have been reduced.

When conditions are continually monitored issues are flagged up before they get out of hand, allowing inexpensive repairs to be carried out by in-house maintenance teams. With Hevasure technology, water system condition is no longer a mysterious art; the preserve of costly consultants, it is something FMs, building managers and owners can view and act upon themselves.

- **Monitoring identified problems undetectable by sampling**
- **Chemical usage was reduced**
- **Flushing was not required**
- **Breakdown and expensive repairs were avoided**
- **The problem was fixed, not masked**



Hevasure findings

The Hevasure unit was installed by Guardian on Friday 12th May. Sited in the basement near the LTHW pump set, the system was online and sending real-time data within just a few hours of installation.

The system monitored a range of parameters in order to get a real-time view of system integrity, water characteristics and corrosion:

- Dissolved oxygen
- Pressure
- pH
- Conductivity
- Galvanic currents (related to corrosion rate of steel surfaces)
- Crevice corrosion
- Leaks (water makeup)
- Temperature
- Inhibitor/glycol concentration



Key findings:

Report details - Part 1

The following series of graphs shows, in detail, the data collected over the period.

Graph 1 – shows the same cyclic DO and pressure pattern over the whole monitoring period – apart from the first week and the period from 5-11th June, the pattern is repeated and the peaks and troughs are consistent with heating /standby periods (i.e. pumps or boilers on/off cycles).

Graph 2 – shows the pattern of pressure in relation to the temperature of the LTHW system.

DO in a stable system wouldn't normally rise above 0.2ppm and pressure fluctuations would be significantly less than shown here. As conductivity remained stable throughout the period it was clear that there weren't any significant water losses or gains.

Interim conclusions

- The system appeared to be letting in air, particularly during standby periods.
- No major leaks were detected.
- Crevice corrosion was detected over a short space of time
- Galvanic currents remained stable
- The pressure measurements were taken at the base of the system, which is the point of highest pressure. This pressure decreases as the water goes higher in the building (1 bar for every 10 metres approximately). At the top of the building, pressure was too low at times.

Recommended actions

Once the readings were interpreted, the following actions were undertaken to improve the health of the system:

- Air vents were replaced by Optimum Group Services on the two high points of the LTHW by the AHUs
- At one of the high points, Guardian installed a standalone repeat sensor with its own communication module that reported back to the Hevasure system in order to give a secondary pressure data reading.
- Following this remedial work, Guardian monitored the system closely to assess if air ingress/pressure issues remained.
- Guardian recommended raising the pressure on the system, to prevent oxygen ingress.


► Report details - Part 2

Data report 15th June to 17th July

Overall the established trend continued, with each drop in temperature and pressure coinciding with a rise in dissolved oxygen.

Guardian installed an additional pressure sensor in the 4th floor plant room to record pressure readings at the top of the system. This sensor began transmitting data from the 27th June.

The site team also replaced the AAVs on the top of the system – two on each side of the building by the AHUs.



Graph 1



Graph 2



Graph 3



Graph 4

Graph 3 – shows the dissolved oxygen (blue) and the pressure (black) as measured at the base of the system. The oxygen levels peak every time the pressure drops.

Between 28 June and 4th July, indicated by an arrow, the

pressure doesn't drop far from the 3.3Bar level and during this period the oxygen levels remain mostly low and more stable. When the pressure is less stable and drops below 3 bar, oxygen levels rising again, peaking at 8ppm.

From this data we can see that at a higher resting pressure – or stabilisation of the resting pressure – restricts the ingress of fresh oxygen.

Graph 4 – shows the pressure recorded in the upper plant room sensor on the 4th floor. (measured in bar). The arrow shows the same “stable” period as the graph above.

Several times the pressure drops to 0 bar indicating negative pressure and air ingress on the 4th floor.

Analysis

As suggested at the end of phase one, the pressure on the 4th floor is dropping low enough to cause air ingress and this is the source of the dissolved oxygen. The stable higher resting pressures from 28th June-4th July show a far lower oxygen ingress.

The conductivity remains consistent indicating water loss is minimal or not happening at all.

► Report details - Part 3

Data report – up to 25th August

The data obtained for pressure and DO continued pretty much with the same pattern from the last update except for two periods of “stable” DO levels, between the 8th and 12th of August and the 21st and 24th August.


► Report details - Part 4

Monitoring data from 25th August – 10th October

During this period work had been carried out to address some of the mechanical issues on the system. The expansion vessels were serviced and a fault was found with one of them. This was rectified in early August. The Air/dirt separator was also replaced on around the 28th September.



Graph 5



Graph 6

Graph 5 – The readings taken at the end of the period, show that there is some stability in the system over the seven days (3rd – 10th October) although the DO is still higher than desired. During this period there are no dramatic drops in base pressure, stopping the peaks in DO.

► Report details – Part 5

Monitoring data from the 29th November – 13th December

Graph 6 – The oxygen has fully stabilised, the system is healthy.

Hevasure Ltd

Stancliffe House
Whitworth Road
Darley Dale
Derbyshire
DE4 2HJ
United Kingdom

Tel: +44 (0)1629 735743

Email: info@hevasure.com

Web: www.hevasure.com